| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA | |
| 9 | | 1 |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | CASE NO. 3:15-MC-05032-BHS-DWC |
| 11 | Petitioner, | |
| 12 | v. | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PETITION TO ENFORCE |
| 13 | RICHARD E. LOYD, | INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS |
| 14 | Respondent. | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | The United States of America, on behalf of its agency, the Internal Revenue Service | |
| 17 | ("IRS"), petitioned this Court for the judicial enforcement of an IRS summons issued against | |
| 18 | Respondent, Richard E. Loyd. See Dkt. 1, Exh. 1; 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a). The | |
| 19 | District Court entered an order on August 13, 2015, directing Respondent to show cause as to | |
| 20 | why the IRS summons should not be judicially enforced. Dkt. 2. The District Court also referred | |
| 21 | this action to United States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel for the purpose of reviewing the | |
| 22 | IRS petition and any objections filed by Respondent, scheduling and conducting an evidentiary | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | hearing, if necessary, and determining whether there are valid defenses to enforcement of the | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | IRS summons. Id. | |
| 3 | By the terms of the District Court's Order, Respondent had two weeks from the date the | |
| 4 | Order was served upon him to file a statement of objections and supporting affidavits. Dkt. 2. | |
| 5 | The District Court's Order further provides "[f]ailure to file a timely statement of objections will | |
| 6 | result in enforcement of the summons without any further pleadings." Dkt. 2. Respondent was | |
| 7 | properly served with a copy of the Petition and the District Court's Order in this case on August | |
| 8 | 19, 2015. Dkt. 4. As a result, Respondent had until September 3, 2015 to file a statement | |
| 9 | detailing any objections to enforcement of the Internal Revenue Service summons accompanied | |
| 10 | by an affidavit, giving the facts on which the objections are based. As of September 9, 2015, | |
| 11 | Respondent has failed to file objections to the Petition to Enforce the IRS Summons. | |
| 12 | Accordingly, the undersigned recommends the entry of an Order granting the Petition to Enforce | |
| 13 | the IRS Summons. | |
| 14 | The clerk is directed to send a copy of this report and recommendation by certified mail | |
| 15 | and first-class mail to the respondent. | |
| 16 | Dated this 10th day of September, 2015. | |
| 17 | () Muisto | |
| 18 | David W. Christel | |
| 19 | United States Magistrate Judge | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |